

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/600,039	06/20/2003	Oliver Chyan	122302.00001 (UNTD-0001)	6398
25555 7.	590 11/17/2006		EXAM	INER
JACKSON W	ALKER LLP		LE, DUN	IG ANH
901 MAIN STI SUITE 6000	REET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS, TX 75202-3797			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/600,039	CHYAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		DUNG A. LE	2818			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This					
· <u> </u>	_					
تـــار-	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3-10,25-29,33,34 and 48</u> is/are pend	ding in the application	•			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>47</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 7-10</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>25-29,33,34 and 48</u> is/are rejected.					
	Claim(s) <u>3-6</u> is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•			
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) 🗔	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
	<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	, ,,,				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
•		,				
Attachmen	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	асель Аррысацоп			

Application/Control Number: 10/600,039

Art Unit: 2818

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1, 25 and 48 are amended. Claim 47 is withdrawn. Claims 2,11-24,30-32 and 35-36 are canceled. Claims 1,3-10,25-29,33-34 and 48 are pending.

#### Claim Objections

Claims **2**-6 are objected to because of the following informality:

Claims **2**-6 depend from canceled claim 2.

### Reasons for Indication of Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 3-10 are allowed.

If Applicants are aware of better art than that which has been cited, they are required to call such to attention of the examiner.

### Claim Rejections

## Set of claims 25-29.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 25 and 28-29 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Choi (5637533).

Choi teach a method of controlling and containing copper diffusion during the integration of copper interconnects during the fabrication of integrated circuits (especially refer to Fig. 1F refer to related texts), comprising:

preparing an inter-level dielectric substrate 5;

depositing one or a plurality of layers of RuO2 10 directly on the inter-level dielectric substrate 5; and

depositing copper 11 on the RuO2 layer.

**Regarding claim 28,** further comprising depositing the RuO2 layer on the interlevel dielectric using a thermal oxidation technique (col. 2 and lines 40-50).

**Regarding claim 29**, further comprising depositing the RuO2 layer on the interlevel dielectric using a physical vapor technique (col. 1 and lines 15-20).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

<sup>(</sup>a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/600,039

Art Unit: 2818

Page 4

Claims 26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Choi in view of the following remark.

Choi discloses the claimed invention as applied to claim 25 including the step of depositing the RuO2 layer on the inter-level dielectric using a thermal oxidation technique (col 2, lines 40-45) and depositing the RuO2 layer on the inter-level dielectric using a physical vapor technique (col 1, line 17) except for depositing the RuO2 layer on the inter-level dielectric using an atomic layer technique and depositing the RuO layer on the inter-level dielectric using an electrochemical technique.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to depositing the RuO2 layer on the inter-level dielectric using an atomic layer technique and depositing the RuO layer on the inter-level dielectric using an electrochemical technique to form RuO2, because the abovementioned methods are commonly used to prevent undesirable or detrimental reactions in the contact region, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the desired application.

### Set of claims 33-34

Applicants argued that Claims 33 - 34 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Iwasaki. The Examiner asserts that Iwasaki discloses the use of Ru and RuO2 as a diffusion barrier. Applicant respectfully asserts that Iwasaki discloses the use of a barrier metal composite to prevent diffusion and does not disclose the use of Ru and RuO2 alone, or without an added element. Thus, claims 33 - 34, which pertain to the use of Ru and RuO2 alone, rather than a composite metal layer, as a diffusion barrier, are not anticipated by Iwasaki.

But Claims 33-34 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by Iwasaki et al. (6,624,513). Iwasaki et al. teaches a method of controlling copper diffusion during the integration of copper interconnects during integrated circuit fabrication, comprising using Ru (col 12, lines 20-31) and RuO2 as a diffusion barrier, Iwasaki discloses the use of a barrier metal composite to prevent diffusion and does not disclose the use of Ru and RuO2 alone (col 12, lines 54-56).

Regarding claim 34, further comprising eliminating a copper seed layer (fig. 13).

### **Independent claim 48**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

Application/Control Number: 10/600,039

Art Unit: 2818

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 USC 102 (b) as being anticipated by Choi (5637533).

Choi teaches a method of controlling and containing copper diffusion during the integration of copper interconnects during the fabrication of integrated circuits, comprising:

preparing an inter-level dielectric substrate 5;

depositing one or a plurality of layers 10 of RuO2 or Ru or a combination thereof~ without additional elements, on the inter-level dielectric substrate 5; and

depositing copper11 on the layer of RuO2 or Ru or a combination thereof, wherein the method eliminates the need for a copper seed layer (especially see fig. 1F refer to related texts).

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 2818

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

# Conclusion.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung A. Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-1784. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday 6:00am- 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, M. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The central fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)272-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DUNG A. LE Primary Examiner Art Unit 2818 12